1	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )
4	Plaintiff, ) No. 2:18-cr-00090-JCC
5	) vs. ) Seattle, WA
6	THOMAS MAHONEY, )
7	) Sentencing Defendant. ) January 8, 2019
8	
9	VERBATIM REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE JUDGE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: CECELIA YOUNGBERG GREGSON U.S. Attorney's Office
14 15	700 Stewart Street, Suite 5220 Seattle, WA 98101-1271 cecelia.gregson@usdoj.gov
16 17	FOR THE DEFENDANT: JESSE GUERRERO CANTOR Federal Public Defender's Office 1601 Fifth Avenue, Suite 700
18	Westlake Center Office Tower Seattle, WA 98101
19	jesse_cantor@fd.org
20	Andrea Ramirez, CRR, RPR
21	Official Court Reporter United States District Court
22	Western District of Washington 700 Stewart Street, Suite 17205
23	Seattle, WA 98101 andrea_ramirez@wawd.uscourts.gov
24	Reported by stenotype, transcribed by computer
25	

```
THE CLERK: Calling case CR18-90, United States of
 1
     America vs. Thomas Mahoney.
 2
 3
          Counsel, please make your appearances.
               MS. GREGSON: Cecelia Gregson, for the government,
 4
     and seated to my right is Special Agent Jayme McFarland. Also
 5
 6
     present today are the victim advocate, the victim, and the
 7
     victim's mother.
               MR. CANTOR: And good morning, Your Honor, Jesse
 8
 9
     Cantor. I'm here with Thomas Mahoney.
               THE COURT: Mr. Cantor, has he had an opportunity to
10
     review and comment on the presentence report?
11
12
               MR. CANTOR: Yes, he has.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Do you wish to be heard?
               MR. CANTOR: Yes. Your Honor, all parties are in
14
15
     agreement that the mandatory minimum in this case is a
16
     sufficient sentence for Mr. Mahoney. I think what persuaded
17
     the government -- and Ms. Gregson certainly will correct me if
18
     I'm wrong -- but I believe wholeheartedly that what persuaded
19
     the government to come to this resolution was, in large part,
20
     the evaluation that we submitted to the Court, which was filed
21
     under seal, and I hope the Court's had an opportunity to review
22
     that.
          But what that evaluation, without going into the details,
23
24
     tells us, in the end, is that Mr. Mahoney, from this point
25
     forward, will demonstrate and has demonstrated to be a low risk
```

of reoffending. And on top of that, he's also amenable to treatment.

The evaluation, as well as the presentence report, highlight the challenges that my client has faced over the course of his 23, 24 years -- 25 now, I believe he is, starting with the suicide of his father; his battles with substance abuse; being diagnosed with what everyone thought was a terminal cancer that practically killed him, almost killed him, and miraculously he was able to survive that, at the age of 20. And just imagine the stress that that builds upon such a young individual, an individual who also, at the same time, had been diagnosed with bipolar disorder, depression; again, depression stemming from the suicide of his father that he idolized.

And all of these stresses compounded on Mr. Mahoney to the point where he needed medication. He was prescribed medication to stabilize him. And during the period of this offense conduct, he refused to take his meds. And I have personally witnessed the drastic change between a man who now is on his meds and is following the treatment recommendations and the agenda set by his physician and the people at the Federal Detention Center, the psychiatrists; he's a completely different individual than the person that we heard rambling on a recorded audio that the agents had recorded when Mr. Mahoney had been arrested.

He's a different person in terms of how he takes care of

himself vis-a-vis how he took care of himself prior to his arrest. You know, in the discovery, for example, there were photos of what happened during the search of his place, that he was living in a garbage dump; just really didn't care much about himself or anything at that point. And that's because he wasn't thinking logically. And he is now. And at least what I've noticed when I've met with Mr. Mahoney on several occasions -- I meet with him frequently -- is that he thinks more clearly, more logically, and he appreciates and understands the consequences of his actions.

I included in my materials research about how the adolescent brain takes time to develop, to the point where our brains really are not developed until the age of 25. And I think at this point what's at least been made clear to me is that Mr. Mahoney not only empathizes with the victim, and is sorry for the pain that he has caused her, but he is also motivated to do something about that. He is agreeable and amenable to not only deviancy treatment, but this time also taking his medication regimen seriously. And he's been doing that for at least during the time that I've been representing him, which has been almost over a year now.

So I think on those grounds, all parties agree that 120 months is sufficient. What this case really is at its core -- everyone should agree to this -- is that it's a rape of a child in the third degree. And what pushed Mr. Mahoney over the edge

is that, unfortunately, he did what —— he did what most millennials do these days, and that's record everything. And that's what brought this case into federal court. Take away those private recordings and Mr. Mahoney would be in the Snohomish County system facing a maximum of 60 months. But those recordings, he acknowledges, were aggravating facts. He fully accepted responsibility to that. And he agreed to spend at least the next ten years of his life in prison, and I'm hoping the Court follows that recommendation.

With respect to the guidelines, Your Honor, all parties seem to be in agreement about the guidelines, except for a couple of adjustments. I just want to highlight these two adjustments. I don't know if it's going to make that much of a difference, given the government's recommendation for a departure of three levels for this psychosexual evaluation. But the plus—two adjustment for the commission of a sexual act, together with the plus—two adjustment for using a computer to entice a minor to engage in a sexual act, I submit, is double counting. And for purposes of offense conduct and the purposes behind why these enhancements are there in the first place, they seem to be punishing the same thing, and that is sexual contact with a minor.

If the Court agrees with my calculations and imposes or applies the departure, Mr. Mahoney would be at a total offense level of 31 with a guideline range of 120 to 135. If the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
government [sic] disagrees and follows Ms. Gregson's
calculations, it's just really one level up, and the range
would be 121 to 151. So even the guidelines are close to what
all parties are recommending.
     Finally, Your Honor, I'm just going to end on this.
Mr. Mahoney has been in custody in the Snohomish County system
since October 31 of 2017. And it took several weeks, a few
months, quite frankly, for him to be transferred to the Federal
Detention Center. And I think under, what is it, USSG? -- I
wrote this down -- 5G1.3, even though he was in the Snohomish
County system, given that that offense conduct was taken over
by the feds and what he's being punished for today, he should
get credit for that time served, for that time that he served
in Snohomish County. So I would ask the Court to include in
the judgment that Mr. Mahoney receive credit for time served
since his arrest. And he's been in custody ever since, since
October 31, 2017.
    And, finally, he recommends, because his family is from
New Jersey, that he recommends placement at FCI Fort Dix,
D-I-X.
     Thank you.
          THE COURT: Include the Fort Dix recommendation,
Ms. Gregson.
     Does he wish to speak?
         MR. CANTOR: He was wavering on that. Let me find
```

```
1
     out.
 2
          Do you mind if Mr. Mahoney speaks from the desk here?
                           That's fine.
 3
               THE COURT:
 4
               MR. CANTOR: Thank you.
               THE DEFENDANT: I just want to say sorry to Katie and
 5
 6
     her mother, and that I wish that I could have been on my
 7
     medication, because I probably wouldn't have made a lot of all
     those stupid choices.
 8
 9
          And then I just want to focus on bettering myself and make
     the best of my life, going forward. And hopefully I can be
10
     placed near my family; if not Fort Dix, somewhere close by
11
12
     there. And I quess that's it.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Ms. Gregson?
               MS. GREGSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15
          For the reasons that follow, the government is
     recommending the total term of 120 months and ten years of
16
17
     supervision, with all of the conditions, of course, that
18
     U.S. Probation has recommended.
          I'm also asking that the Court add another condition under
19
20
     the special conditions, Number 18, the judgment and sentence,
21
     which specifically precludes contact with the victim.
     intentionally not naming the victim or her mother in this
22
     proceeding, per their request.
23
24
          Although I do not have restitution amounts, I would like
25
     to ask for 30 days to bring that before the Court so the family
```

```
1
     has time to pull things together. There's just been a lot of
 2
     chaos.
 3
               THE COURT:
                           That's fine. And you can add the
     condition of no contact.
 4
 5
               MS. GREGSON: Thank you.
 6
          Would the Court be willing to let the family address the
 7
     Court prior to the government's statements?
 8
               THE COURT: Yes.
 9
               MS. GREGSON: Thank you.
               VICTIM'S MOTHER: Reflecting on the last two-plus
10
     years, the crimes Mr. Mahoney committed against my daughter
11
12
     have forever changed her life and all members of our family.
13
     Her ability to engage in school and form healthy, peer-to-peer
14
     relationships and understand healthy male-female relationships,
15
     interact with her family, and enjoy life changed dramatically.
          Her three little brothers were also impacted. For two
16
17
     years, they lost a loving sister and a role model to a time of
18
     abuse and recovery. In the process, I feel like they lost two
19
     years of their own childhoods.
20
          My daughter's relationship with her stepfather was damaged
21
     beyond repair as he did not know how to support recovery from
     such a heinous and intimate abuse and withdrew completely from
22
     the relationship and resented loss of his wife to the healing
23
24
     process of his stepdaughter. Although he was a first responder
25
     for 12 years, he did not know how to talk to a child who had
```

experienced such severe sexual abuse and whose world had shrunk to that abuse and the focus of recovery. There were no longer friends, sports, grades, or career plans to talk about. He didn't know what to say to a teen about counseling or recovery, so he stopped talking completely and retreated to his office.

The silence was so painful to my daughter, and I felt so alone in supporting her recovery, that I decided the day after Thanksgiving to end my ten-year relationship and eight-year marriage to a wonderful man who had taken on the task of raising three stepkids, but found facing and moving beyond the horrors of Mr. Mahoney's abuse too much and beyond his emotional capacities. At some level, I realized that I had been blaming my husband for not keeping her safe when I was away on business trips, when all the blame rests with Mr. Mahoney.

My attention during the year of ongoing, undiscovered abuse was laser-focused on determining what had happened to my intelligent, loving daughter who had suddenly seemed to withdraw completely from the world and spent much of her time too consumed by anxiety to function at all. She couldn't even go to the grocery store, couldn't go to school, barely could come out of her room, and no longer participated in fun, family activities. There were actually months periods of time where she sat curled in a ball on the floor in her bedroom.

We saw specialists from Stanford adept at diagnosing

genetic diseases and infectious triggers for her health deterioration. She was referred to rheumatologists, neurologists, chronic fatigue specialists, educational psychologists, psychiatrists, and geneticists.

I am a scientist, by training, who works in the medical field. My nights were spent, until 2:00 to 3:00 a.m. each morning, researching on PubMed and generating protein interaction networks of her genetic changes, trying to explain a diverse array of physical and psychological symptoms.

What was wrong with my daughter? What was causing her physical, intellectual, mental, and social decline? The answer was that she was being sexually and emotionally abused by Mr. Mahoney. The doctors saw the signs of abuse and interpreted them, in light of their various specialties, with an array of confusing diagnoses and referrals. We only finally understood what she had been going through after Mr. Mahoney's arrest.

When I learned of what had happened, and the way our daughter had been controlled by a stranger who targeted her on the internet, I was devastated. I felt like our daughter was an Elizabeth Smart or Patty Hearst, been held captive, abused and controlled over a year, while seemingly safe at home.

My husband is in cybersecurity. We had extensive controls and monitoring on our kids' internet, but Mr. Mahoney had coached her on using apps to communicate, that we couldn't

detect.

Since my kids were little, we have stressed safety. My first husband, and my daughter's father, is an emergency room physician. I made him a present, before she was born, called "The Careful Daddy Safety Manual." It was roughly 30 pages long. Her formative years were all about safety and avoiding hazards, including chokers, electrocutes, and, yes, internet predation.

We looked up registered sex offenders in every neighborhood we moved. I especially pointed out people who didn't look particularly scary. We talked about risks from coaches, childcare providers, neighbors, teachers, or any trusted adult that had extensive time alone with kids.

My grandmother volunteered for the local police support volunteer service, COPS, and all our phones, fridges, and computers were covered with magnets and stickers on internet safety tips.

Our children weren't allowed to use social media early.

When we found online accounts they made visiting with their friends or their dad, we actively reported them and had them deleted. It was only when I finished my graduate program and took a job in another state, requiring our family to move, that we let our daughter have Facebook, after a middle school counselor said we should loosen up and let her have it, because she really missed her old friends and needed a modern way to

stay in touch. She had only been on Facebook eight months when Mr. Mahoney targeted and assaulted her.

Learning that we had failed to keep her safe was devastating. I now realize that I'm the first generation of parents that is dealing with predators getting to target hundreds and hundreds of kids until they find the one that's vulnerable.

I took two months off work to support her healing but also because of a personal diagnosis of PTSD from the trauma suffered by the person I love most in the world being hurt. You see, my job as a medical science liaison requires travel to medical conferences and major academic research hospitals to support clinical trials. My daughter and I have always had an incredibly close relationship, with high levels of daily communication. We often stay up late, far past the rest of the family, talking. My husband and I both work remotely, so someone is almost always home throughout the day. So

Mr. Mahoney targeted his travel and physical assaults to when she was most vulnerable, the three to four times a year when I am away, for four to five days at a time, for medical conferences.

As I said, I took two months' leave to support my daughter's healing, after learning of her abuse upon Mr. Mahoney's travel. But when I returned to work, I still couldn't bring myself to travel. I did as much work as I could

locally, and took day trips, but did not feel like she was safe for me to be gone overnight. Her anxiety was understandably high with any of my travel, despite her brothers and stepdad being home with her. But without my emotional support, she just wasn't ready, and neither was I. My work output suffered. My team lead tried to cover by also reducing his output and saying it had just been a slow quarter, but our team was eliminated within a few months.

After six weeks, I got a new job in the same field, but travel was still a challenge. A few hours before flying away for my first medical conference at the new job, on November 29 of 2018, my daughter had an emotional outburst requiring a 5150 and hospitalization. I cut my time at the conference short, flew back to San Francisco to get her, and brought her back to the conference with me.

Of course, I had to divulge some of the aspects to my boss about why I needed to leave for these five hours, but I lost my job within the week. Though it was blamed on a merger, my colleagues' positions weren't eliminated. My employer knew I could not do my job with my daughter's high need for emotional support and particular challenges during my work travel. With enrollment for a critical clinical trial approaching, they couldn't take a risk.

My daughter's anxiety when I travel is clearly due to the abuse she suffered at the hands of Mr. Mahoney during my prior

work trips. Realistically, I need to effect a career change. As someone in a field making roughly \$200,000 a year, who's always been the primary earner in the family and will be the sole breadwinner after my divorce, this is easier said than done. None of this would be happening if Mr. Mahoney had followed the law and stayed away from my daughter.

I want the Court to know: We're here. We found a way to get here.

As to my other kids, the year-plus of abuse robbed both my daughter and the other kids of normal, fun, family experiences. A trip planned for months, to Disneyland, was canceled as we were climbing into the car, because my daughter had extreme anxiety. Why? Unbeknownst to us, Mr. Mahoney had threatened to kill a teen friend of my daughter. The two families had planned to meet up, and the teens were going to help take the younger kids around. But Mr. Mahoney had told my daughter he already had a flight to Los Angeles and was going to stalk our family and kill the boy if they met.

After his arrest, my daughter struggled intensely with processing all that happened to her. We would stay up until 3:00 or 4:00 in the morning talking it through. But I wasn't there for my other kids, or my husband, as my daughter needed the support. My four-year-old was kicked out of two preschools as he modeled some of the tumultuous emotional behavior and language he'd seen at home. We then kept him home for three

months to help him with emotional regulation, further restricting my ability to perform work. My oldest son withdrew from the emotional turmoil. He's only now just reemerging. My middle son let everything at school decline. The fallout from Mr. Mahoney's abuse affected everything in our lives.

For the year of abuse, my daughter was in such poor physical and emotional health that she could not successfully attend school. She tried a home study program where she went in one hour a week, but her anxiety was so severe that she'd lay curled in the chair, and they referred her back to her home high school for special education services evaluation. She had a hospital home instructor for a few months but could not focus. We did not know she came to some of her tutoring sessions directly after being raped by Mr. Mahoney.

After the abuse came to light, the school tried to give her proper supports to return to her prior potential, but everyone had learned from the internet what had happened. She was prejudged by everyone before they met her, and the anxiety of everyone knowing was too severe to focus in class. The most private details of the abuse had been posted on the internet, including the indictment, linking her name and address forever to the events that should have been private. We were doxed, we were swatted, and she was repeatedly targeted until the pressure became so much that there was no relief other than a legal name change, leaving her school, and starting a new life.

This gave the gift of anonymity, but it is a barrier in forming healthy friendships as she no longer has her identity or history to share with new friends.

As to financial impacts, there is a mountain of medical bills from a number of 5150 ambulance calls, holds, and hospitalizations in the few months just after the abuse ended. In January 2017, my daughter broke all the windows out of the first floor of our home. She told the police she did it because she wanted to go to jail to be sure it was a bad enough place for Mr. Mahoney and to be sure he was suffering at least as much as she was.

There are unreimbursed bills for PTSD counseling. The counselor told us that my daughter may need three to four years of counseling, with support again at various times such as marriage or having kids. There are costs of the name changes. The bills are getting fewer, but the effects are still impacting her. I anticipate needing to declare bankruptcy, at some point, due to the bills.

My daughter is in behavior skills counseling. She was recently diagnosed with Asperger's and autism spectrum disorder. The diagnosis has helped us understand how social isolation makes girls on the spectrum especially susceptible to predation by men like Mr. Mahoney. They are often socially isolated and can't see obvious red flags of abuse or predation. Our newfound knowledge will help her relearn what a healthy

relationship looks like, something that has been made especially hard by the warped abuse she suffered at his hands.

My daughter has suffered from autism spectrum eating disorders. Mr. Mahoney used this information to keep her weak, insecure, and silent. He learned everything she loved or considered a strength of herself, and then tore her down so that she felt worthless. His sexism was rampant. She is just now relearning that all the things he told her about women, and especially about her, that she wasn't good at and women weren't good at, were really just his ways of controlling her.

My daughter has also now been diagnosed with severe OCD. Her ruminating thoughts touch upon sexual abuse. Her compulsions were coping mechanisms she developed during the abuse by Mr. Mahoney. She also has OCD behaviors related to money. These arose from the college savings money Mr. Mahoney manipulated him [sic] to give her [sic] for rent and travel. She has a hard time now spending money to put gas in her car, even if she's holding the cash in her hand. These behaviors did not exist before Mr. Mahoney's abuse. The symptomology is so severe, inpatient treatment at Harvard McLean has been recommended at a potential cost of up to \$70,000.

She also struggles with what she describes as psychological drug addiction. She says she's currently not taking any drugs, but Mr. Mahoney introduced her to both alcohol and drugs. She was addicted to illegally obtained

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Xanax during the course of the abuse. She had never drank, done drugs, or even had a first boyfriend when she met Mr. Mahoney. He targeted her when she was only 13 years old. Now, under stress, she still craves escape by the mechanisms Mr. Mahoney used while assaulting and emotionally abusing her, but makes a conscious choice to try to abstain. Still, exposing a young teen to drugs during a period of rapid brain remodeling alters the reward circuitry in a way that has created a risk for the remainder of her life. Before Mr. Mahoney, my daughter was a profoundly gifted student with perfectionist tendencies, who was concerned about pleasing others and hyper-focused on academics and going to college. She'd never had discipline issues. She had a close and loving relationship with her family and always had one or two really close friends. She had received high scores on her ACTs, at 13 years old, and was a final candidate for early college entrance at University of Washington through the Robinson Center's Doogie-Howser-type program. Then Mr. Mahoney annihilated her dreams and told her she was just as worthless, except to him, as he must have himself felt. She's back in school, still somehow entering college early and maintaining a 4.0 through her first semester, but she battles with many of the issues Mr. Mahoney brought into her life on a daily basis. Our family has changed irreparably. My marriage is over. My career may be close behind. My daughter and her siblings

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
lost a stepdad that had been there since they were two, four,
and six. Her own father disowned her because of what happened.
As a Middle-Eastern man, his perception was that being raped
brought shame on his family.
    Mr. Mahoney's plea bargain is for ten years to life. Ten
years, to me, does not seem nearly enough for the damage
brought to my daughter and our family. And we told our Contra
Costa sex crimes detective as such during the plea
negotiations. We ask the Court to consider a longer sentence
of at least 15 years. It will take us, individually, at least
that long to recover from the extensive damage he has caused,
and our family, as it existed before Mr. Mahoney's crimes, has
been irrevocably obliterated.
         MS. GREGSON: The victim would like to address the
Court.
          THE COURT: Sure.
          VICTIM: So I guess I'll just -- I didn't write
anything, so I'll just present facts.
     So I guess I was 14 years old. I was working at
McDonald's. I was giving him all of my paychecks, as loans,
because he wouldn't get a job. I remember getting -- I asked
for money for Christmas, instead of presents, so that I would
have money to give him. And I ended up loaning him $5,000,
total, which now, looking back, I wish I could have used for
college, now that I started college.
```

I have a lot of issues with money now. I can't spend money on food. I can't spend money on anything that can't be resold for exactly the same amount, because of my OCD, and it makes life really hard. I can't, like, buy gas. I can't buy water. I can't buy anything. I'm just trying to make back that money that I loaned to him.

And it was -- just this whole thing was just really hard for me. I feel now, coming out of it and starting college, I have pretty much no reference point to what's normal and healthy in life and just in existence, because I was basically in my room, kept in my room, for a year and a half. And he threatened to kill himself, threatened to kill my friends, just would have complete outbursts, scream at me, yell at me on the phone, threaten me, tell me I'm a horrible person. If I said, "Oh, I'm going to go hang out with someone," he would just say, like -- he would just find some way to force me to stay in my room. So I do feel like I was kept captive for a year and a half.

And I have had immense issues trying to even learn how to communicate with a person at a grocery store, trying to check out. I don't know how to talk to people. I don't know how to interact with people. He was my only human interaction for a year and a half. And he was so terribly abusive. I have no reference point for what is normal.

I've gotten into many more bad situations, bad people,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
just because I actually -- during those developmental years, I
was just -- he was influencing all of my -- all of what I
learned. And I just have -- I feel like I'm starting from
scratch, starting from birth, at 16 years old, trying to
navigate the world. And I don't know how to make friends.
don't know what's normal. I just don't know anything, how life
is supposed to be.
     I do feel like the life that I was put on this earth to
live, I will never live because of Mr. Mahoney. I do feel like
every single day for the rest of my future is going to be
somehow impacted by this, and it has been. And it's just
really hard.
    And I know that Mr. Mahoney had -- has been diagnosed with
bipolar and things of that sort. I've been diagnosed with
bipolar too, though. I've been diagnosed with depression.
I've been diagnosed with pretty much a laundry list of things,
trying to figure out what in the world was wrong with me. I
was already hospitalized for multiple heart issues,
bradycardia, from age 13. He knew this. He knew I was weak.
He -- I had an eating disorder. I was struggling with an
autism-spectrum-related, anorexia-type eating disorder. He
would use that against me constantly. He would -- he pretty
much just used everything in his power to make me do whatever
he wanted.
     And I know that I'm never going to be the same, and I know
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that my family is never going to be the same, and I know that I will just not live the life that I would have if Mr. Mahoney hadn't become involved. That's all, I guess. Thank you. MS. GREGSON: There's not much I can add. I think the Court has plenty of information from both sides. I think perhaps the defendant's personal issues precluded insightful analysis of the ramifications of engaging in a relationship with a child. Ten years -- approximately ten years doesn't make much of a difference when you're 50 or 60, but it sure makes a big difference between 21 and 13. I can't imagine a time in life where a ten-year period makes more of a difference, and I think the Court can see that and has also heard it today. The victim's impacts here are substantial. The defendant does have some mitigating circumstances, which, of course, were taken into consideration by the government when we came to this agreed recommendation. He is young. He's had severe mental health and physical-related issues, and those are contemplated by the government in our ultimate resolution to the three counts of travel and one count of enticement. I'd ask the Court to follow the government's recommendation. I do think that it is commensurate with other individuals who are similarly situated, although there are not many, not -- certainly not ones that I've prosecuted in this

1 jurisdiction over the past six years. There is a preliminary order of forfeiture I'd ask the 2 Court to sign, for the devices that contain images of the 3 4 There was an appellate waiver in this case. And if the Court does not have any further questions, that 5 6 concludes the government's presentation. 7 THE COURT: Did you consult with the victim family in arriving at the ten-year recommendation? 8 MS. GREGSON: Your Honor, we did. I think in all 9 cases, the government always coordinates. 10 I would have expected nothing different, 11 THE COURT: 12 but I guess I'm really troubled by the allocution from the 13 mother and the victim today. I quess the best I can do is just -- is to say that the 14 15 amount of time that Mr. Mahoney serves is not going to be much comfort to the victim and her family in this case. I've been 16 17 on the bench for 37 years. I've never seen a case that 18 troubled me as much as this one does. But I -- you know, 19 giving him an additional five years on top of the ten that you're recommending isn't going to accomplish anything, in my 20 21 view. And I think it -- the government's recommendation is a thoughtful and carefully-arrived-at recommendation, one that I 22 23 feel compelled to accept, and with apologies to the family, but 24 I think that ten years is the appropriate resolution. 25 So I find the total offense level is -- the guideline

```
range is 168 to 210 months. I'm imposing a period of
 1
     confinement of 120 months, a period of supervised release of
 2
     ten years. I note the defendant's objection to the ten years,
 3
 4
     but I'm imposing the ten years as recommended by the probation
     office. Restitution will be determined following submissions
 5
     from the victim family and the government. I'm waiving the --
 6
 7
     I'm including the special assessment for the counts of
     conviction.
 8
 9
          This sentence is a product of the guidelines, together
     with the factors of 18 U.S.C. Section 3553, with particular
10
     emphasis on the defendant's mental health issues, his
11
12
     dysfunctional childhood, but with overwhelming emphasis on the
13
     impact on the victim and the victim's family, but also noting
14
     that this is the joint recommendation of the parties; and
15
     therefore, I think it's appropriate.
          I'm signing the order of forfeiture.
16
17
               MR. CANTOR: Your Honor, in the judgment and
18
     sentence, would the Court allow us to include credit for time
19
     served since October 31, 2017?
20
               THE COURT: I'll leave that to the Bureau of Prisons.
21
               MR. CANTOR: And then Fort Dix as a recommendation?
               THE COURT: Yes. Include Fort Dix as a
22
     recommendation.
23
24
          Mr. Mahoney, you've waived the right to appeal this
25
     sentence except in very limited circumstances. If you wish to
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

file a notice of appeal, it must be filed within 14 days of today. If you wish the assistance of an attorney in filing an appeal and cannot afford one, one will be appointed to assist you if you so request. If you wish the assistance of the clerk in filing a notice of appeal, he will assist you if you so request. Do you understand? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. MS. GREGSON: And I've prepared the judgment and sentence from the Court's oral ruling. May I present it to counsel? THE COURT: Yes. MR. CANTOR: I don't mean to delay, Your Honor. just want to put one thing on the record here, if I may. I don't believe the Bureau of Prisons is going to count any credit for the time that Mr. Mahoney has served since his arrest on this matter, because my understanding is, the Bureau of Prisons will only count the time that he starts serving once he is brought to the Federal Detention Center. So if the Court's intention is, of course, ten years, but ten years beginning in March of 2018, then that's the Court's intention. But if the Court's intention really is to sentence Mr. Mahoney to 120 months starting from the day he was arrested on this matter, I think we do need to include that language in the judgment and sentence. So that way, there's no confusion.

```
THE COURT: I was aware of the problem, and I meant
 1
     what I said.
 2
 3
                MR. CANTOR: Okay. Well, with that, I have reviewed
     the judgment. It appears consistent with the Court's ruling,
 4
 5
     and I'll pass that forward.
 6
          Thank you.
 7
                THE COURT: We'll be in recess.
 8
                                (Adjourned)
 9
                           C E R T I F I C A T E
10
11
12
           I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from
     the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
13
     /s/ Andrea Ramirez
14
15
     ANDREA RAMIREZ
     COURT REPORTER
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```